

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspko.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,578	09/22/2003	David W. Knoeppel	COS-850	9651
75	90 12/27/2004		EXAMINER	
David J. Alexander			LU, C CAIXIA	
Fina Technology, Inc.				
P.O. Box 674412			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77267-4412			1713	

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0 1 10	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ø.			
Supplemental	10/667,578	KNOEPPEL ET AL.	¥			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Caixia Lu	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N statute, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. SONTHS from the mailing date of this common ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status			•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	roign priority under 35 H S C	6 110(a) (d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eigh phonty under 55 C.S.C	7. 9 1 13(a) (d) 01 (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
		·				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	·	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1)	E2)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/22/03.	B/08) 5) Notice (6) Other: _		J2)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Dat	e 2004115			

arie La 11-23-04

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.
 121:
 - Claims 1-15, drawn to a process of making catalyst, classified in class 502, subclass 104.
 - Claims 16-22, drawn to a catalyst composition, classified in class
 subclass 128.
 - III. Claims 23-27 and 31, drawn to a polymer, classified in class 526, subclass 348.
 - IV. Claims 28-30, drawn to a polymerization process, classified in class526, subclass 123.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and III, I and IV, and II and III do not fall within the scope of related and distinct inventions permitted by MPEP 806.05 (a)-(i).
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the catalyst can be made by a materially different process wherein two halogenating/titanating steps are involved.

Art Unit: 1713

- Inventions II and IV will be examined together.
- 5. Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the polymer can be made with a different process wherein the catalyst of the process is prepared by a different process which only involves two chlorinating steps.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one of the groups is not required for the rest of the groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. During a telephone conversation with Attorney Tenley Krueger on November 15, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-15. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 20-22 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,578

Art Unit: 1713

Page 4

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Double Patenting

11. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

12. Claims 1-15 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 5-23 of copending Application No. 10/667,546. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims 5-23 of copending Application No. 10/667,546 encompass the instant claims. It is noted that the scope of the instant claims is broader compared to that of claims 5-23 of copending Application No. 10/667,546.

Art Unit: 1713

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571) 272-1114. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner November 19, 2004